IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:)
	Beat Stamm, et al.)
Serial No:	10/764,961) Art Unit
Confirmation No.:	9335) 2628
Filed:	January 26, 2004)
For:	ITERATIVELY SOLVING CONSTRAINTS IN A FONT-HINTING LANGUAGE)))
Examiner:	Eric V. Woods))
)

TRANSMITTAL OF ISSUE FEE PAYMENT

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Applicants respectfully submit that the claimed invention as set forth in each of the independent claims and the dependent claims must be read as a whole, and not as a single feature or subcombination of features which represent less than the entirety of the claimed invention as a whole. While a particular feature or subcombination of features referred to by the Examiner in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance may represent a basis for distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art, Applicants further submit that this may not

necessarily be the sole ground for distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art of record. Accordingly, the Examiner's statement should, in Applicants' view, not be read as constituting or meaning that the invention can or should be reduced to a single "feature" of the invention or to a subcombination of features that is less than the entire invention claimed as a whole, nor that the single feature referenced by the Examiner or subcombination of features referenced by the Examiner in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance is the only or sole grounds for distinguishing the invention over the prior art of record.

Dated this Amay, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Rick D. Nydegger

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 28,651 Customer No. 047973

RDN: aam AAM0000004018V001